#15

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper and every paper or fee referred to therein as being enclosed is being placed in First Class Mail addressed to the Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Attn: Office of Finance, Refund Section, Washington, D.C. 20231

Pichard T Lyon

_Date: 12-1-00

PATENT

Atty. Docket No: FAN-052-00

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re Application of Holland et al.

Group Art Unit: 3632

Entitled: SUPPORT STAND FOR HOLDING DISPLAY ITEMS

Examiner: J. Wujciak

Serial No.: 08/992,504

Filing Date: December 17, 1997

REQUEST FOR REFUND

Hon. Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Attn: Office of Finance, Refund Section

Washington, D.C. 20231

Sir:

The subject application was revived under 37 CFR 1.137(b) as evidenced by the enclosed Decision on the Petition. Prior to the revival, but after the abandonment of the application, unsuccessful attempts were made by the applicant to pay late fees. The aforementioned petition stated that the fees are refundable. Specifically, it was stated that the applicant could

request a refund of \$545.00. To this end, the applicant hereby requests a refund of \$545.00, made payable to the correspondent.

Dated: 12-1-00

LYON, HARR & DEFRANK 300 Esplanade Drive Suite 800 Oxnard, CA 93030 (805) 278-8855

Respectfully submitted

Richard T. Lyon Reg. No. 37,385

Attorney for Applicant(s)



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office
ASSISTANT SECRETARY AND COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

Paper No. 13

RICHARD T. LYON LYON HARR & DEFRANK 300 ESPLANADE DRIVE SUITE 800 OXNARD, CA 93030

COPY MAILED

NOV 0 9 2000

OFFICE OF PETITIONS
A/C PT TNTS

In re Application of Edward W. Holland et al. Application No. 08/992,50 Filed: December 17, 1997 Attorney Docket No.

ON PETITION

This is a decision on the petition under 37 CFR 1.137(b), filed October 25, 2000, to revive the above-identified application.

The petition is **GRANTED**.

The above-identified application became abandoned for failure to reply in a timely manner to the non-final Office action mailed January 20, 2000, which set a shortened statutory period for reply of three (3) months. One month extension of time under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a) was obtained. Accordingly, the above-identified application became abandoned on May 21, 2000.

An extension of time under 37 CFR 1.136 must be filed prior to the expiration of the maximum extendable period for reply. See In re Application of S., 8 USPQ2d 1630, 1631 (Comm'r Pats. 1988). Accordingly, since the \$435 (three month) extension of time submitted on August 25, 2000 was subsequent to the maximum extendable period for reply, petitioner may request a refund. On June 26, 2000, the office received \$165. The office has applied \$55 toward (one month) extension of time, therefore; the petitioner may request an additional \$110 refund from this transaction. The petitioner may request a total refund of \$545 by writing to the Office of Finance, Refund Section. A copy of this decision should accompany petitioner's request.

Telephone inquiries concerning this decision should be directed to Irvin Dingle at (703) 306-5684.

The application file is being forwarded to Technology Center 3600.

Irvin Dingle

Petitions Examiner

Office of Petitions

Office of the Deputy Commissioner

for Patent Examination Policy